arXiv:1605.07283v1 [math.DS] 24 May 2016

Quantitative recurrence properties for systems with non-uniform structure

Cao Zhao[1], Ercai Chen[1,2*].

1 School of Mathematical Science, Nanjing Normal University,

Nanjing 210023, Jiangsu, P.R. China

2 Center of Nonlinear Science, Nanjing University,

Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu, P.R. China

e-mail: ecchen@njnu.edu.cn

e-mail: izhaocao@126.com

Abstract. Let X be a subshift satisfy non-uniform structure, and $\sigma : X \to X$ be a shift map. Further, define

$$R(\psi) := \{x \in X : d(\sigma^n x, x) < \psi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n\}$$

and

$$R(f) := \{x \in X : d(\sigma^n x, x) < e^{S_n f(x)} \text{ for infinitely many } n\},$$

where $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a nonincreasing and positive function, and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous positive function. In this paper, we give quantitative estimate of the above sets, that is, $\dim_H R(\psi)$ can be expressed by ψ and $\dim_H R(f)$ is the solution of the *Bowen* equation of topological pressure. These results can be applied to a large class of symbolic systems, including β-shifts, S-gap shifts and their factors.

Keywords: Non-uniform structure, Recurrence, topology pressure, Hausdorff dimension, shrinking target.

*Corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37D25, 37D35

1 Introduction.

Let (X, T, d) be a topological dynamical system, where (X, d) is a compact metric space and $T : X \to X$ a continuous map. The set $M(X)$ denotes all Borel probability measures is a compact space for the weak* topology of measures, and $M(X, T)$ is the subset of T-invariant probability measures with the induced topology, and then for any $\mu \in M(X, T)$, define (X, T, μ, d) be a measure dynamical system. For the measure dynamical system (X, T, d, μ), Poincaré Recurrence Theorem states that given any invariant measure, almost every point in any positive measure set E returns E an infinite number of times. These results are qualitative in nature, there are fruitful results about the descriptions of the recurrence in this way. We refer the reader to [5] and the references therein. While, these results does not address either with which rate the orbit will return back to the initial point or in which manner the neighborhood of the initial point can shrink. This, later, in [2], Boshernitzan presented the following result for general systems.

Theorem 1.1. [2] *Let (X, T, μ, d) be a measure dynamical system. Assume that, for some $\alpha > 0$, the α-dimensional Hausdorff measure H^α of the space X is σ-finite. Then for μ-almost all $x \in X$,*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} d(T^n x, x) < \infty.$$

If, moreover, $H^\alpha(X) = 0$, then for μ-almost all $x \in X$,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} d(T^n x, x) = 0.$$

Furthermore, Barreira and Saussol study the shrinking rate of the local pointwise dimension.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $T : X \to X$ be a Borel measure transformation on a measurable set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and μ be a T-invariant probability measure on X. Then μ-almost surely,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} d(T^n x, x) < \infty$$

for any $\alpha > \underline{d}_\mu(x)$, where $\underline{d}_\mu(x)$ is the lower pointwise dimension of x with respect to μ, given as

$$\underline{d}_\mu(x) = \liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x, r))}{\log r}.$$

Clearly, Boshernitzan showed almost all point have low recurrence rate. And Barreira and Saussol showed that the shrinking rate for recurrence may relate to some indicators of x. Another direction is that how large will the set of points be when the shrinking rate for recurrence is related to other funcitons? In [6, 7, 8], Hill introduced a shrinking target problems from number theory and gave quantitative research of the

recurrence. Let $T : \mathbf{J} \to \mathbf{J}$ be an expanding rational map of the Riemann sphere acting on its Julia set $\mathbf{J}$ and $f : \mathbf{J} \to \mathbb{R}$ denote a Hölder continuous function satisfying $f(x) \geq \log |T^{'}(x)|$ for all $x \in \mathbf{J}$. Then for any $z_0 \in \mathbf{J}$, in [6], Hill and Velani studied the set of "well approximable" points

$$D_{z_0}(f) := \{x \in \mathbf{J} : d(y, x) < e^{-S_n f(y)} \text{ for infinitely many pairs } (y, n) \in \mathbf{I}\},$$

where $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}(z_0)$ denotes the set of pairs $(y, n)(n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $T^n y = z_0$. In fact, they gave the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *The set $D_{z_0}(f)$ has Hausdorff dimension $s(f)$, where $s(f)$ is the unique solution to the pressure equation*

$$P(-sf) = 0.$$

In [12], Tan and Wang investigated metric properties as well as estimations on the Hausdorff dimension of the recurrence set for β-transformation dynamical systems. More precisely, the β-transformation $T_\beta : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is defined by $T_\beta = \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. And the spotlight is on the size of the set

$$\{x \in X : d(T_\beta^n x, x) < \psi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n\},$$

where $\psi(n)$ is a positive function. In fact, this evokes a rich subsequent work on the so-called Diophantine approximation, we refer the reader to [6, 12, 14, 9] for the related work about this set. That is worth mentioning, the research of recurrence for Diophantine approximation are concentrated in the β-transformation. In other words, the question is whether we can give an quantitative estimate of recurrence for a more general dynamical systems.

In this paper, we consider a class of symbolic systems which is studied in [4]. That is, (X, σ) is a symbolic system with non-uniform structure for the symbolic systems (X, σ). The non-uniform structure mainly defined as there exist $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ has (W)-specification and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is edit approachable by $\mathcal{G}$. The detail definitions will be given in the next section.

Our main result is the following. Set the symbolic system (X, σ, d) with $\sigma : X \to X$ is shift map, and d is the metric of X. Respectively, $M(X), M_\sigma(X)$ denote the probability measure and invariant measure with weak* topology. We define

$$R(\psi) := \{x \in X : d(\sigma^n x, x) < \psi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a shift space with $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(X)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}$ has (W)-specification and $\mathcal{L}$ is edit approachable by $\mathcal{G}$, then for positive function $\psi(n) : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$:*

C1) *If $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \psi(n) > 0$, then*

$$\dim_H R(\psi) = h,$$

C2) *If ψ is nonincreasing, then*

$$\dim_H R(\psi) = \frac{h}{1+b}, \text{ with } b = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log \psi(n)}{n},$$

where $\dim_H$ *denotes the Hausdorff dimension of a set and* $h := h_{top}(X)$.

Let f be a positive continuous function defined on X, set

$$R(f) = \{x \in X : \ d(\sigma^n x, x) \leq e^{-S_n f(x)} \text{ for infinitely many } \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a shift space with $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(X)$. Let f be a positive continuous function defined on X. Suppose that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}$ has (W)-specification and $\mathcal{L}$ is edit approachable by $\mathcal{G}$. The Hasudorff dimension of $R(f)$ is the unique solution s of the following pressure equation*

$$P(-s(f+1)) = 0,$$

where $P(\bullet)$ denotes the topological pressure.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give our definitions and some key propositions. In section 3, we give the proof of Theorem1.4. In section 4, we give the proof of Theorem1.5. In section 4, we give some applications in β-shifts and S-gap shifts.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 non-uniform structure

In this paper, we consider the symbolic space. Let $p \geq 2$ be an integer and $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \cdots, p\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{(w_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} : \ w_i \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for } i \geq 1\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is compact endowed with the product discrete topology. And we can define the metric of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows, for any $u, v \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$, define

$$d(u, v) := e^{-|u \wedge v|},$$

where $|u \wedge v|$ denote the maximal length n such that $u_1 = v_1, u_2 = v_2, \cdots, u_n = v_n$. We say that (X, σ) is a subshift over $\mathcal{A}$ if X is a compact subset of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and $\sigma(X) \subset X$, where σ is the left shift map on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and

$$\sigma((w_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) = (w_{i+1})_{i=1}^{\infty}, \quad \forall \ \ (w_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

In particular, (X, σ) is called the full shift over $\mathcal{A}$ if $X = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we write

$$[w] = \{(w_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}} : \quad w_1 \cdots w_n = w\},$$

and call it an n-th word in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and denote all the n-th word by $\mathcal{A}^n$. The language of X, denoted by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(X)$, is the set of finite words that appear in some $x \in X$ that is

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = \{w \in \mathcal{A}^* : [w] \neq \emptyset\},$$

where $\mathcal{A}^* = \cup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}^n$. Given $w \in \mathcal{L}$, let $|w|$ denote the length of w. For any collection $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{L}$, let $\mathcal{D}_n$ denote $\{w \in \mathcal{D} : |w| = n\}$. Thus, $\mathcal{L}_n$ is the set of all words of length n that appear in sequences belonging to X. Given words u, v we use juxtaposition uv to denote the word obtained by concatenation.

Definition 2.1. [4] Given a shift space X and its language $\mathcal{L}$, consider a subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}$. Given $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that $\mathcal{G}$ has (W)-specification with gap length τ if for every $v, w \in \mathcal{G}$ there is $u \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $vuw \in \mathcal{G}$ and $|u| \leq \tau$.

Definition 2.2. [4] Define an edit of a word $w = w_1 \cdots w_n \in \mathcal{L}$ to be a transformation of w by one of the following actions, where $w^j \in \mathcal{L}$ are arbitrary words and $a, a^{'} \in \mathcal{A}$ are arbitrary symbols.

(1) substitution: $w = u^1 a u^2 \mapsto w^{'} = u^1 a^{'} u^2$.
(2) Insertion: $w = u^1 u^2 \mapsto w^{'} = u^1 a^{'} u^2$.
(3) Deletion: $w = u^1 a u^2 \mapsto w^{'} = u^1 u^2$.

Given $v, w \in \mathcal{L}$, define the edit distance between v and w to be the minimum number of edits required to transform the word v into the word w, we will denote this by $\hat{d}(v, w)$.

The following proposition about describe the size of balls in the edit metric.

Proposition 2.1. [4] There is $C > 0$ such that given $n \in \mathbb{N}, w \in \mathcal{L}_n$, and $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\sharp\{v \in \mathcal{L} : \ \hat{d}(v, w) \leq \delta n\} \leq C n^C (e^{C\delta} e^{-\delta \log \delta})^n.$$

Now we introduce the key definition, which requires that any word in $\mathcal{L}$ can be transformed into a word in $\mathcal{G}$ with a relatively small number of edits.

Definition 2.3. [4] Say that a non-decreasing function $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is a mistake function if $\frac{g(n)}{n}$ converges to 0. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is edit approachable by $\mathcal{G}$, where $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}$, if there is a mistake function g such that for every $w \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists $v \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\hat{d}(v, w) \leq g(|w|)$.

We can get the following lemma, by applying[[4], Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3].

Proposition 2.2. *If $\mathcal{G}$ has (W)-specification, then there exist $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{L}$, which has free concatenation property (if for all $u, w \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $uw \in \mathcal{F}$) and $\mathcal{L}$ is edit approachable by $\mathcal{F}$.*

Remark 2.1. *We do not have $\mathcal{F}_n \neq \emptyset$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

To estimate the lower bound, we need the following distribution theorem.

Theorem 2.1. [10] *Let E be a Borel measurable set in X and μ be a Borel measure with $\mu(E) > 0$. Assume that there exist two positive constants c, η such that, for any set U with diameter* $\mathrm{diam}U < \eta$, $\mu(U) \leq c\mathrm{diam}(U)^s$, *then*

$$\dim_H E \geq s.$$

2.2 Topological pressure

Given a collection $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{L}$, the entropy of $\mathcal{D}$ is

$$h(\mathcal{D}) := \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sharp \mathcal{D}_n,$$

where $\mathcal{D}_n = \{w \in \mathcal{D} : \ |w| = n\}$. For a fixed potential function $\varphi \in C(X)$, the pressure of $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{L}$ is

$$P(\mathcal{D}, \varphi) := \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \Lambda_n(\mathcal{D}, \varphi),$$

where $\Lambda_n(\mathcal{D}, \varphi) = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{D}_n} e^{\sup_{x\in[w]} S_n\varphi(x)}$ and $S_n\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi(\sigma^k x)$. We write $P(\varphi) := P(\mathcal{L}, \varphi)$.

Proposition 2.3. [4] If $\mathcal{L}$ is edit approachable by $\mathcal{G}$, then $P(\mathcal{G}, \varphi) = P(\varphi)$ for every $\varphi \in C(X)$.

In the following, we set $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}) := \{n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{F}_n \neq \emptyset\}$.

Definition 2.4. *Let $g = -(f + 1) \in C(X), f > 0$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is edit approachable by $\mathcal{F}$.*

(1) For any $n \geq 1$, define $s_n(X)$ to be the unique solution of the equation

$$\sum_{w\in\mathcal{L}_n} \left(e^{\sup_{x\in[w]} S_n g(x)}\right)^s = 1.$$

(2) For any $n \geq 1$ and $n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F})$, define $s_n(\mathcal{F})$ to be the unique solution of the equation

$$\sum_{w\in\mathcal{F}_n} \left(e^{\sup_{x\in[w]} S_n g(x)}\right)^s = 1.$$

Remark 2.2. *Since $f + 1 > 1$ is a continuous function on X, the above definitions is well defined.*

Proposition 2.4. *Assume $s(\mathcal{F})$ to be the solution of the pressure equations*

$$P(\mathcal{F}, -s(f + 1)) = 0.$$

For the increasing sequence $\{n_j\}_{j\geq 1} = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F})$, we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} s_{n_j}(\mathcal{F}) = s(\mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. By virtue of the definition of $P(\mathcal{F}, -s(f+1)) = 0$, it is easy to see that the solution of $P(\mathcal{F}, -s(f+1)) = 0$ is unique and pressure function $f \mapsto P_{top}(\mathcal{F}, f)$ is continuous. Accordingly, we claim that $s_n(\mathcal{F})$ is bounded for each $n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F})$. This is because

$$\sum_{\mathcal{F}_n} e^{-ns_n(\mathcal{F})||f+1||_{max}} \leq 1 \leq \sum_{\mathcal{F}_n} e^{-ns_n(\mathcal{F})||f+1||_{min}}.$$

Then

$$0 < \frac{1}{||f+1||_{max}} \frac{\log \sharp \mathcal{F}_n}{n} \leq s_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{1}{||f+1||_{min}} \frac{\log \sharp \mathcal{F}_n}{n}.$$

With the fact that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \sharp \mathcal{F}_n}{n} \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \sharp \mathcal{L}_n}{n} = h_{top}(X)$, we can know it is bounded. Moreover, by the continuity of pressure function $f \mapsto P_{top}(\mathcal{F}, f)$, it is easy to get $\liminf_{j\to\infty} s_{n_j}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\limsup_{j\to\infty} s_{n_j}(\mathcal{F})$ are the solution of $P(\mathcal{F}, -s(f+1)) = 0$. Hence,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} s_{n_j}(\mathcal{F}) = s(\mathcal{F}).$$

□

Corollary 2.1. *If $\mathcal{L}$ is edit approachable by $\mathcal{F}$. Assume $s(X)$ and $s(\mathcal{F})$ to be, respectively, the solution of the pressure equations $P(-s(f+1)) = 0,\ P(\mathcal{F}, -s(f+1)) = 0$, then*

$$s(X) = s(\mathcal{F}).$$

3 Proof of Theorem1.4

Firstly, we consider that **C1)**. By $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \psi(n) > 0$. Namely, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$, and $N > 0$ such that for any $n \geq N$, $\psi(n) \geq \epsilon_0$. Clearly, we have $b = 0$. We only need to show that $\dim_H R(\psi) \geq h$. By the upper semi-continuity of the entropy map, we can choose ergodic measure μ such that $h_\mu(\sigma) = h$. By Poincaré recurrence theorem, we have $\mu(R(\psi)) = 1$. Hence,

$$\dim_H R(\psi) \geq \dim_H \mu = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log \mu(I_n(x))}{n} = h_\mu(\sigma).$$

Secondly, the proof of **C2)** is divided into two parts.

3.1 Upper bound

The upper bound can be obtained by considering the natural covering system. Evidently,

$$R(\psi) = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \bigcup_{(w_1,w_2,\cdots,w_n)\in\mathcal{L}_n} J(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n),$$

where

$$J(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n) := \{x \in X : x \in [w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n], d(\sigma^n x, x) < \psi(n)\}.$$

Obviously, we can estimate the diameter of $J(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n)$ by

$$\operatorname{diam}(J(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n)) \leq e^{-n}\psi(n).$$

As a result, for any $s > \frac{h}{1+b}$, and without lost generality we can assume that $s = \frac{h(1+\delta)}{1+b}$, for some $\delta > 0$. Also, by the definition of the topological entropy of X and the definition of b, we can choose ϵ such that $(\frac{h(1+\delta)}{1+b} + 1)\epsilon < \frac{h\delta}{2}$, and then we have

$$\sharp\mathcal{L}_n(X) \leq e^{n(h+\epsilon)} \text{ and } \psi(n) \leq e^{-n(b-\epsilon)}$$

for n large enough. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H^s(R(\psi)) &\leq \liminf_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n) \in \mathcal{L}_n} \operatorname{diam}(J(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n))^s \\ &\leq \liminf_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} e^{n(h+\epsilon)} (e^{-n}\psi(n))^s \\ &\leq \liminf_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{nh\delta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$H^s(R(\psi)) < \infty.$$

This implies

$$\dim_H(R(\psi)) \leq \frac{h}{1+b}.$$

3.2 Lower bound

Construction of the Moran set Fix $\eta > 0$, by Proposition 2.3, we can choose M large enough such that

$$\log \sharp\mathcal{F}_M \geq (1-\eta)Mh.$$

Choose a largely sparse subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ of $\mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log\psi(n)}{n} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\log\psi(n_k)}{n_k}, \qquad \frac{n_k}{k} \geq \max\Big\{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} n_j, \ -\log\psi(n_{k-1})\Big\}. \tag{3.1}$$

For $k = 1$, define l_1, i_1 such that $n_1 = l_1 M + i_1$, $0 \leq i_1 < M$. We define $\hat{n}_1 = l_1 M$, and the integer $\hat{t}_1$,

$$e^{-\hat{t}_1} < \psi(\hat{n}_1) \leq e^{-\hat{t}_1 + 1},$$

and then we choose t_1 by modifying $\hat{t}_1$ such that $\hat{t}_1 + M \geq t_1 \geq \hat{t}_1$ and $M \mid t_1$. As a consequences, we obtain

$$e^{-t_1} < \psi(\hat{n}_1) \leq e^{-t_1+M+1}.$$

With ψ is nonincreasing, we have

$$e^{-t_1+M+1} \geq \psi(\hat{n}_1) \geq \psi(n_1).$$

And then define the rational number r_1 such that

$$\hat{n}_1 r_1 = \hat{n}_1 + t_1.$$

For $k \geq 2$, define l_k, i_k such that $n_k - (\hat{n}_{k-1} + t_{k-1}) = l_k M + i_k$, $0 \leq i_k < M$. And then we define $\hat{n}_k := \hat{n}_{k-1} + t_{k-1} + l_k M$. Define the integer $\hat{t}_k$,

$$e^{-\hat{t}_k} < \psi(\hat{n}_k) \leq e^{-\hat{t}_k+1},$$

and then we choose t_k satisfy $M \mid t_k$ and $\hat{t}_k + M \geq t_k \geq \hat{t}_k$. As a consequences, we have

$$e^{-t_k} < \psi(\hat{n}_k) \leq e^{-t_k+M+1}. \tag{3.2}$$

With $\psi(n)$ is nonincreasing, we have

$$e^{-t_k+M+1} \geq \psi(\hat{n}_k) \geq \psi(n_k). \tag{3.3}$$

And then define the rational number r_k such that

$$\hat{n}_k r_k = \hat{n}_k + t_k.$$

From the definitions, we can see that

$$n_k - M \leq \hat{n}_k \leq n_k. \tag{3.4}$$

We are now in the place to construct a Moran subset of $R(\psi)$ as follows. As we realize the events $d(\sigma^n x, x) < \psi(n)$ for infinitely many times along the subsequence $\{\hat{n}_k\}_{k\geq 1}$.

Level 1 of the Moran set. Recall the definition of t_1 and r_1

$$\mathbb{F}(1) = \bigcup [(\underbrace{w_1 \cdots w_M}_{M} \cdots \underbrace{w_{(l_1-1)M+1} \cdots w_{l_1 M}}_{M})^{r_1}],$$

where the union is taken over all blocks $(w_{lM+1}, \cdots, w_{(l+1)M}) \in \mathcal{F}_M$ for each $0 \leq l \leq l_1 - 1$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ has free concatenation property, the concatenation is admissible. From the construction, we have that for any word $I \in \mathbb{F}(1)$ and $x \in I$, the prefix of $\sigma^{\hat{n}_1} x$ and x coincide at the first t_1 digits.

Level 2 of the Moran set. The second level sets is composed of collection of words of each word $J_1 \in \mathbb{F}(1)$:

$$\mathbb{F}(2) = \bigcup_{J_1 \in \mathbb{F}(1)} \mathbb{F}(2, J_1),$$

where for a fixed $J_1 \in \mathbb{F}(1)$, writing $J_1 = [(w_1 \cdots w_{\hat{n}_1+t_1})]$ and

$$\mathbb{F}(2, J_1) = \bigcup [(w_1 \cdots w_{\hat{n}_1+t_1} \underbrace{w_{\hat{n}_1+t_1+1} \cdots w_{\hat{n}_1+t_1+M}}_{M} \cdots \underbrace{w_{\hat{n}_1+t_1+(l_2-1)M+1} \cdots w_{\hat{n}_1+t_1+l_2M}}_{M})^{r_2}],$$

where the union is taken over all blocks $(w_{\hat{n}_1+t_1+lM+1}, \cdots, w_{\hat{n}_1+t_1+(l+1)M}) \in \mathcal{F}_M$ for each $0 \le l \le l_2 - 1$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ has free concatenation property, the concatenation is admissible. From the construction, we have that for any word $I \in \mathbb{F}(2)$ and $x \in I$, the prefix of $\sigma^{\hat{n}_2} x$ and x coincide at the first t_2 digits.

From level k to level k+1 Provided that $\mathbb{F}(k)$ has been defined, we define $\mathbb{F}(k+1)$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{F}(k+1) = \bigcup_{J_k \in \mathbb{F}(k)} \mathbb{F}(k+1, J_k),$$

where for any $J_k = [(w_1 \cdots w_{\hat{n}_k+t_k})] \in \mathbb{F}(k)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{F}(k+1, J_k)\\ &= \bigcup [(w_1 \cdots w_{\hat{n}_k+t_k} \underbrace{w_{\hat{n}_k+t_k+1} \cdots w_{\hat{n}_k+t_k+M}}_{M} \cdots \underbrace{w_{\hat{n}_k+t_k+(l_{k+1}-1)M+1} \cdots w_{\hat{n}_k+t_k+l_{k+1}M}}_{M})^{r_{k+1}}],\end{aligned}$$

where the union is taken over all blocks $(w_{\hat{n}_k+t_k+lM+1}, \cdots, w_{\hat{n}_k+t_k+(l+1)M}) \in \mathcal{F}_M$ for each $0 \le l \le l_{k+1} - 1$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ has free concatenation property, the concatenation is admissible. From the construction, we have that for any word $I \in \mathbb{F}(k+1)$ and $x \in I$, the prefix of $\sigma^{\hat{n}_{k+1}} x$ and x coincide at the first t_{k+1} digits.

The Moran set We obtain a nested sequence $\{\mathbb{F}(k)\}_{k \ge 1}$ composed of word. And then the Moran set is obtained as

$$\mathbb{F}_\infty = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}(k).$$

By the above constructions, we get

$$\mathbb{F}_\infty \subset R(\psi).$$

Supporting measure Now we construct a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{F}_\infty$. For any $J_k \in \mathbb{F}(k)$, letting $J_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}(k-1)$ be its mother word, i.e.,$J_k \in \mathbb{F}(k, J_{k-1})$, the measure of J_k is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(J_k) &:= \frac{1}{\sharp\mathbb{F}(k, J_{k-1})}\mu(J_{k-1}) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{(\sharp\mathcal{F}_M)^{l_j}}.\end{aligned}$$

This means that the measure of any mother word is evenly distributed among her offsprings. For any $n \geq 1$, and n long word $I_n = [w_1 \cdots w_n]$ with $I_n \cap \mathbb{F}_\infty \neq \emptyset$, let $k \geq 2$ be the integer such that $\hat{n}_{k-1} + t_{k-1} < n \leq \hat{n}_k + t_k$. We just set

$$\mu([w_1 \cdots w_n]) = \sum_{J_k \subset I_n} \mu(J_k),$$

where the summation is taken over all words $J_k \in \mathbb{F}(k)$ contained in I_n. In fact, we have the following expression for the measure of a word.

(1) If $\hat{n}_{k-1} \leq n \leq \hat{n}_{k-1} + t_{k-1}$,

$$\mu(I_n) = \mu(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}}).$$

(2) If $\hat{n}_{k-1} + t_{k-1} < n < \hat{n}_k$, assume $n = \hat{n}_{k-1} + t_{k-1} + lM + i$.
For $i = 0$ and $0 \leq l \leq l_k - 1$,

$$\mu(I_n) = \mu(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}}) \frac{1}{\left(\sharp\mathcal{F}_M\right)^l}.$$

For $i \neq 0$ and $0 \leq l \leq l_k - 1$,

$$\mu(I_n) \leq \mu(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}+lM}).$$

Hölder exponent of the measure Connect (3.3) with the fact that $b = \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\log\psi(n_k)}{n_k}$, we obtain

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{t_k}{n_k} \leq b.$$

Accordingly, from (3.4), we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{t_k}{\hat{n}_k} \leq b.$$

Furthermore, by virtue of (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4), there exists k_0 such that $k \geq k_0$ satisfy

$$\frac{\hat{n}_k - \hat{n}_{k-1} - t_{k-1}}{\hat{n}_k + t_k} \geq \frac{1-\eta}{1+b}.$$

Firstly, we consider J_k,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{-\log\mu(J_k)}{\hat{n}_k+t_k} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} l_j \log \sharp\mathcal{F}_M}{\hat{n}_k+t_k}\\ &\geq \frac{\hat{n}_k-\hat{n}_{k-1}-t_{k-1}}{\hat{n}_k+t_k}\times\frac{\log\sharp\mathcal{F}_M}{M}\\ &\geq \frac{h(1-\eta)^2}{1+b}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mu(J_k)\leq e^{-(\hat{n}_k+t_k)\frac{h(1-\eta)^2}{1+b}}.$$

(1) If $\hat{n}_{k-1}\leq n\leq \hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}$,

$$\mu(I_n)=\mu(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}}).$$

And then

$$\frac{-\log\mu(I_n)}{n}\geq\frac{-\log\mu(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}})}{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}}\geq\frac{h(1-\eta)^2}{1+b}.$$

This implies that

$$\mu(I_n)\leq \mathrm{diam}(I_n)^{\frac{h(1-\eta)^2}{1+b}}.$$

(2) If $\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}<n<\hat{n}_k$, denote $n=\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}+lM+i$.
For $i=0$ and $0\leq l\leq l_k-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(I_n)&=\mu(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}})\frac{1}{\left(\sharp\mathcal{F}_M\right)^l}\\ &\leq \mu(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}})e^{-l(1-\eta)Mh}.\end{aligned}$$

And then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{-\log\mu(I_n)}{n}&\geq\frac{-\log\mu(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}})+l(1-\eta)Mh}{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}+lM}\\ &=\min\left\{\frac{-\log\mu(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}})}{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}},(1-\eta)h\right\}\\ &\geq\frac{h(1-\eta)^2}{1+b}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\mu(I_n)\leq \mathrm{diam}(I_n)^{\frac{h(1-\eta)^2}{1+b}}.$$

For $i\neq 0$ and $0\leq l\leq l_k-1$,

$$\mu(I_n)\leq\mu(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}+lM}).$$

From

$$\mathrm{diam}(I_n) \geq e^{-M}\mathrm{diam}(I_{\hat{n}_{k-1}+t_{k-1}+lM}),$$

we have

$$\mu(I_n) \leq e^{M\frac{h(1-\eta)^2}{1+b}}\ \mathrm{diam}(I_n)^{\frac{h(1-\eta)^2}{1+b}}.$$

Finally, by Theorem 2.1 and η can be arbitrary small, we finish the proof of **C2)**.

4 Proof of Theorem1.5

Naturally, the proof is divided into two parts.

4.1 Upper bound

The proof is similar to the proof of the upper bound of Theorem1.4. Clearly,

$$R(f) = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty}\bigcup_{(w_1w_2\cdots w_n)\in\mathcal{L}_n} J(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n),$$

where

$$J(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n) := \{x \in X : x \in [w_1w_2\cdots w_n], d(\sigma^n x, x) < e^{-S_nf(x)}\}.$$

For each $(w_1w_2\cdots w_n)$, we can choose y such that

$$S_nf(y) = \sup_{x\in[w_1w_2\cdots w_n]} S_nf(x).$$

Thus, by the continuity of f, for each $\delta > 0$ and n large enough, we obtain

$$J(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n) \subset \{x \in X : x \in [w_1w_2\cdots w_n], d(\sigma^n x, x) < e^{-S_nf(y)}e^{n\delta}\},$$

where $S_nf(y) = \inf_{x\in[x_1\cdots x_n]} S_nf(x)$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{diam}(J(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n)) \leq e^{-S_nf(y)+n\delta-n}.$$

We define $s(\delta)$ be the solution of $P((s(-1-f+\delta)) = 0$, and by the continuity of the pressure function $f \mapsto P(f)$ and the boundedness of $s(\delta)$, we obtain $\lim_{\delta\to 0^+} s(\delta) = s(X)$. At the same time, we denote $P := P((s(\delta)+\delta)(-1-f+\delta)) < 0$, then there exists $\epsilon(\delta) > 0$, such that

$$\sum_{w\in\mathcal{L}_n(X)} \left(e^{-S_n(f(x)+1-\delta)}\right)^{s(\delta)+\delta} \leq e^{-n\epsilon(\delta)},$$

for n large enough. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{s(\delta)+\delta}(R(f)) &\leq \liminf_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{(w_1w_2\cdots w_n)\in\mathcal{L}_n} \mathrm{diam}(J(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n))^{s(\delta)+\delta} \\ &\leq \liminf_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} e^{-n\epsilon(\delta)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\dim R(f) \leq s(\delta) + \delta.$$

With δ is arbitrary small, we finished the proof.

4.2 Lower bound

By the continuity of f, choose $y \in [x_1 \cdots x_n]$ such that $S_nf(y) = \inf_{x\in[x_1\cdots x_n]} S_nf(x)$, i.e., y depends on n, x. It suffices to show that the result holds for the set

$$\Big\{x \in X : \ d(x, \sigma^n x) < e^{-S_nf(y)} \text{ with for infinitely many } \ n \in \mathbb{N}\Big\}.$$

Fix $\eta > 0$, by Proposition2.4, we can choose $M \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F})$ and moreover, for any $n, m \geq M$ with $n, m \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F})$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : x, y \in X, d(x, y) \leq e^{-M}\} \leq \frac{\eta}{4}, \\ |s_n(\mathcal{F}) - s(X)| < \eta \text{ and } \ |s_n(\mathcal{F}) - s_m(\mathcal{F})| < \eta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ has free concatenation property, we can see that $M, 2M, 3M, \cdots \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F})$.

4.2.1 Construction of the Moran set

In the following, for any $[w_1 \cdots w_n]$, we set $y \in [w_1 \cdots w_n]$ satisfies

$$S_nf(y) := \inf_{x\in[w_1\cdots w_n]} S_nf(x).$$

For $k = 1$, choose $m_1 = M$ and define $n_1 := m_1$. For any $(w_1 \cdots w_{n_1}) \in \mathcal{F}$, define $\hat{t} = \hat{t}(w_1 \cdots w_{n_1})$ to be the integer,

$$e^{-\hat{t}_1} < e^{-S_{n_1}f(y)} \leq e^{-\hat{t}_1+1},$$

where $S_{n_1}f(y) = \inf_{x\in[w_1\cdots w_{n_1}]} S_{n_1}f(x)$. Moreover, we choose t_1 by modifying $\hat{t}_1$ such that $\hat{t}_1 + M \geq t_1 \geq \hat{t}_1$ and $M \mid t_1$. So

$$e^{-t_1} < e^{-S_{n_1}f(y)} \leq e^{-t_1+M+1}.$$

And then define r_1 such that

$$n_1 r_1 = n_1 + t_1.$$

For $k \geq 2$, define m_k satisfy $M \mid m_k$, we can choose m_k large enough such that

$$(n_{k-1} + t_{k-1})||f|| \leq \frac{m_k \eta}{2}, \quad \text{and } \frac{m_k}{k} \geq m_1 + \cdots + m_{k-2} + m_{k-1}, \tag{4.6}$$

and then define $n_k = m_k + n_{k-1} + t_{k-1}$. Define the integer $\hat{t}_k$,

$$e^{-\hat{t}_k} < e^{-S_{n_k} f(y)} \leq e^{-\hat{t}_k + 1},$$

and then we choose t_k satisfy $M \mid t_k$ and satisfy $\hat{t}_k + M \geq t_k \geq \hat{t}_k$. As a consequences, we have

$$e^{-t_k} < e^{-S_{n_k} f(y)} \leq e^{-t_k + M + 1}. \tag{4.7}$$

And then define r_k such that

$$n_k r_k = n_k + t_k.$$

We are now in the place to construct a Moran subset of $R(f)$ as follows.

Level 1 of the Moran set. Recall the definition of t_1 and r_1

$$\mathbb{F}(1) = \bigcup [(w_1^1 \cdots w_{m_1}^1)^{r_1}],$$

where the union is taken over all blocks $(w_1^1 \cdots w_{m_1}^1) \in \mathcal{F}_{m_1}$, with $r_1 m_1 = m_1 + t_1$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ has free concatenation property, the concatenation is admissible. From the construction, we have that for any word $I \in \mathbb{F}(1)$ and $x \in I$, the prefix of $\sigma^{n_1} x$ and x coincide at the first t_1 blocks.

Level 2 of the Moran set. The second level is composed of collection of words of each word $J_1 \in \mathbb{F}(1)$:

$$\mathbb{F}(2) = \bigcup_{J_1 \in \mathbb{F}(1)} \mathbb{F}(2, J_1),$$

where for a fixed $J_1 \in \mathbb{F}(1)$, writing $J_1 = [(w_1 \cdots w_{m_1 + t_1})]$.

$$\mathbb{F}(2, J_1) = \bigcup [(w_1 \cdots w_{m_1 + t_1} w_1^2 \cdots w_{m_2}^2)^{r_2}]$$

where the union is taken over all blocks $(w_1^2 \cdots w_{m_2}^2) \in \mathcal{F}_{m_2}$, with $r_2 n_2 = n_1 + t_1 + m_2 + t_2$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ has free concatenation property, the concatenation is admissible.

From level k to level k+1 Provided that $\mathbb{F}(k)$ has been defined, we define $\mathbb{F}(k+1)$ as follows.

$$\mathbb{F}(k+1) = \bigcup_{J_k \in \mathbb{F}(k)} \mathbb{F}(k+1, J_k),$$

where for any $J_k = [(w_1 \cdots w_{t_k + n_k})] \in \mathbb{F}(k)$,

$$\mathbb{F}(k+1, J_k) = \bigcup [(w_1 \cdots w_{t_k+n_k} w_1^{k+1} \cdots w_{m_{k+1}}^{k+1})^{r_{k+1}}], \tag{4.8}$$

where the union is taken over all blocks $(w_1^{k+1}, \cdots w_{m_{k+1}}^{k+1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{m_{k+1}}$, with $r_2 n_{k+1} = n_k + m_{k+1} + t_{k+1}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ has free concatenation property, so the concatenation is admissible.

The Moran set We obtain a nested sequence $\{\mathbb{F}(k)\}_{k\geq 1}$ composed of word. And then the Moran set is obtained as

$$\mathbb{F}_\infty = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}(k).$$

From the above constructions, we can get

$$\mathbb{F}_\infty \subset R(f).$$

4.2.2 Supporting measure

Now we construct a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{F}_\infty$. For any $J_k \in \mathbb{F}(k)$, letting $J_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}(k-1)$ be its mother word, i.e.,$J_k \in \mathbb{F}(k, J_{k-1})$, the measure of J_k is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(J_k) &:= e^{-s_k m_k - s_k S_{m_k} f(y^k)} \mu(J_{k-1}) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{k} e^{-s_j m_j - s_j S_{m_j} f(y^j)} \end{aligned}$$

where $s_k := s_{m_k}(\mathcal{F})$ and $S_{m_j} f(y^j) = \inf_{x \in [w_1^j \cdots w_{m_j}^j]} S_{m_j} f(x)$ with $y^j \in [w_1^j \cdots w_{m_j}^j]$. This means that the measure of any mother word is evenly distributed among her offsprings. For any $n \geq 1$, and n long word $I_n = [w_1 \cdots w_n]$ with $I_n \cap \mathbb{F}_\infty \neq \emptyset$, note that n_k and t_k depend on the digits. That is, given a block $[w_1 \cdots w_n]$ of length n, it determines t_1 if $n \geq n_1$. If t_{k-1} can be determined, we then compare n with $n_k = n_{k-1} + t_{k-1} + m_k$. If $n \geq n_k$, it determines t_k; otherwise, we have $n_{k-1} \leq n < n_k + t_{k-1} + m_k = n_k$, and the block $[w_1 \cdots w_n]$ determines n_1 up to n_{k-1}.

Now we consider any word with length $n_{k-1} \leq n < n_k$. We just set

$$\mu([w_1 \cdots w_n]) = \sum_{J_k \subset I_n} \mu(J_k).$$

where the summation is taken over all words $J_k \subset \mathbb{F}(k)$ contained in I_n. In fact, we have the following expression for the measure of a word.

(1) When $n_{k-1} \leq n \leq n_{k-1} + t_{k-1}$,

$$\mu(I_n) = \mu(I_{n_{k-1}+t_{k-1}}) = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} e^{-s_j m_j - s_j S_{m_j} f(y^j)}.$$

(2) When $n_{k-1}+t_{k-1}<n<n_k$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(I_n) &= \sum_{J_k\subset I_n}\mu(J_k)\\ &= \sum_{(w_{n+1}\cdots w_{n_k})\in\Xi}\mu(I_{n_k+t_k}(w_1\cdots w_n w_{n+1}\cdots w_{n_k})),\end{aligned}$$

where Ξ denote the set of $(w_{n+1}\cdots w_{n_k})$ such that $(w_1\cdots w_n w_{n+1}\cdots w_{n_k})\in\mathcal{F}_{m_k}$.

4.2.3 Hölder exponent of the measure

Firstly, we consider the J_k, by (4.5), for k large enough,

$$\mu(J_k)\le\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(e^{-m_j-S_{m_j}f(y^j)}\right)^{s(X)-\eta}.$$

From inequality (4.5) and (4.6), for any $J_k=[w_1\cdots w_{n_k}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^{k}|S_{m_j}f(y^j)-S_{n_j}f(y)|\\ &\le\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Big(|S_{m_j}f(y^j)-S_{m_j}f(\sigma^{n_{j-1}+t_{j-1}}y_0^j)|+|S_{m_j}f(\sigma^{n_{j-1}+t_{j-1}}y_0^j)-S_{n_j}f(y)|\Big)\qquad(4.9)\\ &\le\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\frac{m_j\eta}{4}+\frac{m_j\eta}{4}+\frac{m_j\eta}{2})\le 2m_k\eta,\end{aligned}$$

where $y_0^j\in[w_1\cdots w_{n_j}]$ for any $1\le j\le k$ and any $y\in J_k$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(J_k)&\le\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(e^{-m_j-S_{m_j}f(y^j)}\right)^{s(X)-\eta}\\ &\le\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(e^{-m_j-S_{n_j}f(y)}\right)^{s(X)-\eta}e^{2m_k\eta(s(X)-\eta)}\\ &\le\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(e^{-m_i-t_j+M+1}\right)^{s(X)-\eta}e^{2m_k\eta(s(X)-\eta)}\\ &\le e^{-(n_k+t_k)(s(X)-\eta)+2m_k\eta(s(X)-\eta)+2M(s(X)-\eta)}\\ &\le e^{-(n_k+t_k)(s(X)-\eta-2\eta(s(X)-\eta))+2M(s(X)-\eta)}\\ &= C(\eta)e^{-(n_k+t_k)(s(X)-\Delta(\eta))},\end{aligned}$$

where $C(\eta) := e^{2M(s(X)-\eta)}$ is bounded, and $\Delta(\eta) := \eta + 2\eta(s(X)-\eta)$ satisfy $\Delta(\eta) \to 0(\eta \to 0)$. The second inequality follows from (4.9) and the third inequality follows from (4.7). And then, we can give the following estimate.

(1)If $n_{k-1} \le n \le n_{k-1} + t_{k-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(I_n) &= \mu(I_{n_{k-1}+t_{k-1}}) \le C(\eta)\mathrm{diam}(J_{k-1})^{(s(X)-\Delta(\eta))} \\ &= C(\eta)e^{-n(s(X)-\Delta(\eta))}e^{-(n_{k-1}+t_{k-1}-n)(s(X)-\Delta(\eta))} \\ &\le C(\eta)\mathrm{diam}(I_n)^{(s(X)-\Delta(\eta))}.\end{aligned}$$

(2)If $n_{k-1} + t_{k-1} < n < n_k$, devote $n = n_{k-1} + t_{k-1} + l$, then

$$\mu(I_n) = \mu(J_{k-1}) \sum_{w_{n+1}\cdots w_{n_k}\in\Xi} e^{-s_k m_k - s_k S_{m_k} f(y^k)},$$

where Ξ denote the set of $(w_{n+1}\cdots w_{n_k})$ such that $(w_1\cdots w_n w_{n+1}\cdots w_{n_k}) \in \mathcal{F}_{m_k}$.

Firstly, we estimate the last summation,

$$\sum_{w_{n+1}\cdots w_{n_k}\in\Xi} e^{-s_k m_k - s_k S_{m_k} f(y^k)} \le \sum_{w_{n+1}\cdots w_{n_k}\in\Xi} e^{-s_k m_k - s_k S_{m_k - l} f(\sigma^l y^k)}.$$

By virtue of the definition of s_k, we have

$$\sum_{w_1\cdots w_l w_{l+1}\cdots w_{n_k}\in\mathcal{F}_{m_k}} e^{-s_k m_k - s_k S_{m_k} f(y^k)} = 1.$$

(1) If $l < M$,

$$\begin{aligned}1 &\ge \sum_{w_1\cdots w_l w_{l+1}\cdots w_{n_k}\in\Xi} e^{-s_k m_k - s_k S_{m_k} f(y^k)} \\ &= \sum_{w_1\cdots w_l w_{l+1}\cdots w_{n_k}\in\Xi} e^{-s_k l - s_k S_l f(y^k)} e^{-s_k(m_k-l) - s_k S_{m_k-l} f(\sigma^l y^k)} \\ &\ge e^{-s_k M - s_k M||f||} \sum_{w_{l+1}\cdots w_{n_k}\in\Xi} e^{-s_k(m_k-l) - s_k S_{m_k-l} f(\sigma^l y^k)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{w_{l+1}\cdots w_{n_k}\in\Xi} e^{-s_k(m_k-l) - s_k S_{m_k-l} f(y^k)} \le e^{s_k M + s_k M||f||}.$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(I_n) &\le C(\eta)e^{-(n_{k-1}+t_{k-1})(s(X)-\Delta(\eta)) + s_k M + s_k M||f||} \\ &\le C(\eta)\mathrm{diam}(I_n)^{s(X)-\Delta(\eta)} e^{M(s(X)-\Delta(\eta))} e^{s_k M + s_k M||f||} \\ &\le C(\eta)\mathrm{diam}(I_n)^{s(X)-\Delta(\eta)} e^{M(s(X)-\Delta(\eta)) + (s(X)+\eta)(M+M||f||)}.\end{aligned}$$

(2) If $l \geq M$, firstly, assume that $s_l(\mathcal{F})$ is well defined, and then we have $|s_l(\mathcal{F}) - s_k| < \eta$.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{w_1 \cdots w_l w_{l+1} \cdots w_{n_k} \in \mathcal{F}_{n_k}} e^{-s_k m_k - s_k S_{m_k} f(y^k)} \\ &= \sum_{w_{l+1} \cdots w_{n_k}} \sum_{w_1 \cdots w_l} e^{-s_k l - s_k S_l f(y^k)} e^{-s_k (m_k - l) - s_k S_{m_k - l} f(\sigma^l y^k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $s_l(\mathcal{F})$ is well defined,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w_1 \cdots w_l \in \mathcal{F}_l} e^{-s_k l - s_k S_l f(y^k)} &\geq \sum_{w_1 \cdots w_l \in \mathcal{F}_l} e^{-(s_l(\mathcal{F}) + \eta) l - (s_l(\mathcal{F}) + \eta) S_l f(y^k)} \\ &\geq e^{-\eta l - l\eta ||f||}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $s_l(\mathcal{F})$ can not be defined, we can choose $M + l \geq l' > l$, such that $s_{l'}(\mathcal{F})$ and $|s_{l'}(\mathcal{F}) - s_k| < \eta$ is well defined. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w_1 \cdots w_l} e^{-s_k l - s_k S_l f(y^k)} &\geq \sum_{w_1 \cdots w_{l'}} e^{-s_k l' - s_k S_{l'} f(y^k)} \\ &\geq e^{-\eta l' - l' \eta ||f||} \\ &\geq e^{-\eta l - l\eta ||f|| - M\eta - M\eta ||f||}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,

$$\sum_{w_{l+1} \cdots w_{n_k} \in \Xi} e^{-s_k (m_k - l) - s_k S_{m_k - l} f(y^k)} \leq C^0(\eta) e^{\eta l + l\eta ||f||},$$

where $C^0(\eta) := e^{M\eta + M\eta ||f||}$. It turns out that

$$\sum_{w_{l+1} \cdots w_{n_k} \in \Xi} e^{-s_k m_k - s_k S_{m_k - l} f(y^k)} \leq C^0(\eta) e^{\eta l + l\eta ||f||} e^{-s_k l}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(I_n) &\leq C^0(\eta) C(\eta) e^{-(n_{k-1} + t_{k-1})(s(X) - \Delta(\eta)) + \eta l + l\eta ||f|| - s_k l} \\ &= C^0(\eta) C(\eta) \mathrm{diam}(I_n)^{s(X) - \Delta(\eta)} e^{l(s(X) - \Delta(\eta)) + \eta l + l\eta ||f|| - s_k l} \\ &\leq C^0(\eta) C(\eta) \mathrm{diam}(I_n)^{s(X) - \Delta(\eta)} e^{l(-\Delta(\eta) + 2\eta + \eta ||f||)} \\ &\leq \min\{C^0(\eta) C(\eta) \mathrm{diam}(I_n)^{s(X) - 2\eta - \eta ||f||}, C^0(\eta) C(\eta) \mathrm{diam}(I_n)^{s(X) - \Delta(\eta)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1 and η can be arbitrary small, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Remark 4.1. *In the end we pose a question about Theorem* 1.4. *Does this result remain valid for $\psi(n)$ has no monotonicity and $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \psi(n) = 0$?*

5 Applications

S-gap shifts S-gap shift $\sum_S$ is a subshift of $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by the rule that for a fixed $S \subset \{0,1,2\cdots\}$, the number of 0 between consecutive s is an integer in S. That is, the language

$$\{0^n 10^{n_1} 0^{n_2} 0^{n_3} \cdots 0^{n_k} 1 : 1 \le i \le k \text{ and}, n, m \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

together with $\{0^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where we assume that S is infinite.

β-shifts Fix $\beta > 1$, write $b = \lceil \beta \rceil$, and let $w^\beta \in \{0,1,\cdots,b-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the greedy β-expansion of 1. Then w^β satisfies $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j^\beta \beta^{-j} = 1$, and has the property that $\sigma^j(w^\beta) \prec w^\beta$ for all $j \ge 1$, where $\prec$ denotes the lexicographic ordering. The β-shift is defined by

$$\Sigma_\beta = \{x \in \{0,1,\cdots,b-1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sigma^j(x) \prec w^\beta \text{ for all } j \ge 1\}.$$

In [4], S-gap shifts, β shifts and their factors satisfy the non-unform structure i.e., for $X := \sum_S$ or $\sum_\beta$ there exists $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ has (W)-specification and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is edit approachable by $\mathcal{G}$. Set

$$R(\psi) := \{x \in X : d(\sigma^n x, x) < \psi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then we have

$$\dim_H R(\psi) = \frac{h}{1+b}, \text{ with } b = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log \psi(n)}{n}.$$

Let f be a positive continuous function defined on X, set

$$R(f) = \{x \in X : \ d(\sigma^n x, x) \le e^{-S_n f(x)} \text{ for infinitely many } \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The Hasudorff dimension of the set $R(f)$ is the unique solution s of the following pressure equation

$$P(-s(f+1)) = 0.$$

References

[1] L. Barreira & B. Saussol, Hausdorff dimension of measures via Poincaré recurrence, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **219**(2001) 443-463.

[2] M. Boshernitzan, Quantitative recurrence results, *Invent. Math.* **113**(1993) 617-631.

[3] N. Chernov & D. Kleinbock, Dynamical Borel-Cantelli lemma for Gibbs measures, *Israel J.Math.* **122** (2001) 1-27.

[4] V. Climenhaga, D. Thompson & K.Yamamoto, Large deviations for systems with non-uniform structure. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser.* to appear.

[5] H. Furstenberg, Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory. *Princeton University Press*, 1981.

[6] R. Hill & S. Velani, The ergodic theory of shrinking targets. *Invent. Math.* **119**(1995) 175-198.

[7] R. Hill & S. Velani, Metric diophantine approximation in Julia sets of expanding rational maps. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **85**(1997) 193-216.

[8] R. Hill & S. Velani, The shrinking target problems for matrix transformations of tori, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **60**(1999) 381-398.

[9] F. Lü & J. Wu, Diophantine analysis in beta-dynamical systems and Hausdorff dimensions. *Adv. Math.* **290** (2016) 919-937.

[10] Y. Pesin, Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems, Contemporary Views and Applications, *Univ. of Chicago Press* (1997).

[11] C. Pfister & W. Sullivan, On the topological entropy of saturated sets, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **27** (2007) 929-956.

[12] B. Tan & B. Wang, Quantitative recurrence properties for beta-dynamical system. *Adv. Math.* **228**(2011) 2071-2097.

[13] P. Walter, an introduction to ergodic theory, springer, Berlin,1982.

[14] B. Wang, Z. Wen & J. Wu, Hausdorff dimensions of some liminf sets in Diophantine approximation. *Mathematika* **61** (2015) 101-120.